

# EXHIBIT F

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	)
	) Case No. 07-5944 SC
	) MDL No. 1917
This Document Relates to:	)
	)
ALL ACTIONS.	)
	)

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

**VOLUME I**

**DEPOSITION of NOBUO HARADA**

**May 20, 2015**

Tami L. Le, RPR, CSR No. 8716  
392110

SINCE  
1972 

**BARKLEY**  
Court Reporters  
[barkley.com](http://barkley.com)

(310) 207-8000 Los Angeles	(415) 433-5777 San Francisco	(949) 955-0400 Irvine	(858) 455-5444 San Diego
(916) 922-5777 Sacramento	(408) 885-0550 San Jose	(760) 322-2240 Palm Springs	(951) 686-0606 Riverside
(818) 702-0202 Woodland Hills	(212) 808-8500 New York City	(347) 821-4611 Brooklyn	(518) 490-1910 Albany
(516) 277-9494 Garden City	(914) 510-9110 White Plains	(312) 379-5566 Chicago	(702) 366-0500 Las Vegas
00+1+800 222 1231 Paris	00+1+800 222 1231 Dubai	001+1+800 222 1231 Hong Kong	

1                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
4

5       In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)                   )  
6       ANTITRUST LITIGATION,                              )  
7       This Document Relates to:                        )  
8       ALL ACTIONS.                                      )  
9

---

10

11

12                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

13                   VOLUME I

14                   Videotaped Deposition of NOBUO

15                   HARADA, taken on behalf of the Toshiba

16                   Defendants, at 501 W. Broadway,

17                   19th Floor, San Diego, California,

18                   commencing at 9:18 a.m., on Wednesday,

19                   May 20, 2015, before Tami L. Le,

20                   CSR No. 8716, RPR.

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2

3 For the Direct Action Plaintiff Circuit City Stores,  
4 Inc. Liquidating Trust:  
4 (Telephonic appearance)

5 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
6 BY: JONATHAN J. ROSS, ESQ.  
1000 Louisiana  
Suite 5100  
7 Houston, Texas 77002  
713.653.7813  
8 jross@susmangodfrey.com

9

10 For Al Siegel, the Trustee for the Circuit City  
10 Liquidating Trust:  
10 (Telephonic appearance)

11 KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP  
12 BY: SASHA M. GURVITZ, ESQ.  
12 1999 Avenue of the Stars  
13 Thirty-Ninth Floor  
13 Los Angeles, California 90067-6049  
14 310.407.4000  
14 sgurvitz@ktbslaw.com

15

16 For the Toshiba Defendants:

17 WHITE & CASE LLP  
18 BY: CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN, ESQ.  
CHARISE NAIFEH, ESQ.  
LUCIUS B. (Albie) LAU, ESQ.  
AYA KOBORI, ESQ. (Telephonic appearance)  
19 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
20 Washington, DC 20005  
20 202.626.3600  
21 ccuran@whitecase.com  
cneifeh@whitecase.com  
alau@whitecase.com  
akobori@whitecase.com

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued) :

2

3 For the Defendants Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp  
4 Electronics Manufacturing Company of America and for the  
witness, Nobuo Harada.:

5 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
6 BY: CRAIG BENSON, ESQ.  
7 WILLIAM BLAISE WARREN, ESQ.  
8 2001 K Street, NW  
9 Washington, DC 20006-1047  
202.223.7343  
cbenson@paulweiss.com  
bwarren@paulweiss.com

10 For Defendant LG Electronics, Inc.:

11 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
12 BY: JESSICA BARCLAY-STROBEL, ESQ.  
13 CLAIRE YAN, ESQ. (Telephonic appearance)  
14 355 South Grand Avenue  
15 35th Floor  
16 Los Angeles, California 90071-1560  
17 213.683.9577  
jessica.barclay-strobel@mto.com  
Claire.Yan@mto.com

18 For the Hitachi Defendants:

19 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
20 BY: BARACK S. ECHOLS, ESQ.  
21 300 North LaSalle  
22 Chicago, Illinois 60654  
23 312.862.3144  
24 barack.echols@kirkland.com

25 For the Panasonic Defendants:

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
BY: RYAN GOODLAND, ESQ.  
767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10153-0119  
212.310.8186  
ryan.goodland@weil.com

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued) :

2

3 For Defendants Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc., and  
Thomson SA:

4

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP  
BY: STEPHEN M. JUDGE, ESQ.  
202 S. Michigan Street  
Suite 1400  
South Bend, Indiana 46601  
574.239.1942  
steve.judge@FaegreBD.com

5

6 The Videographer:

7 Brad Bissegger

8

9 The Interpreters:

10

11 Koko Peters  
12 Teresa Sumiyoshi  
13 Saori Bezouska

14

15 Also Present:

16

17 Michael Kraver, Sharp Electronics Corporation  
Takafumi Yamamoto, Sharp Electronics Corporation

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:00 1 discussion about looking at the cost level.

2 Q BY MR. CURRAN: What did you do after you were  
3 president of Sharp Manufacturing of Poland?

4 THE INTERPRETER: Can the interpreter just look  
11:01 5 something up?

6 CHECK INTERPRETER SUMIYOSHI: Commissioned  
7 employee, a contract employee.

8 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

9 THE DEPONENT: I retired from Sharp Corporation  
11:01 10 in 2011, then continued for two years as the president  
11 of Poland as a part-time employee -- or a commissioned  
12 employee and then worked for Sharp Corporation for an  
13 additional two years as a commissioned employee.

14 Q BY MR. CURRAN: So let me see if I understand  
11:01 15 this. So you retired from Sharp Corporation in 2011?  
16 That's the first question.

17 A Yes.

18 Q But you stayed at Sharp Manufacturing of Poland  
19 for two more years as a part-time, commissioned  
11:02 20 employee?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And were you working in Poland during that  
23 period?

24 A Yes, the same.

11:02 25 Q And were you still the president of Sharp

11:02 1 Manufacturing of Poland?

2 A Yes.

3 Q So you were president of the company even  
4 though you were a part-time, commissioned employee?

11:03 5 A I think really part-time is wrong. This --  
6 what I was was a contract employee, a contract job, and  
7 it's the same as being a regular employee, the  
8 conditions were the same. It was just that I had  
9 retired at one point and then I -- I retired and then  
11:04 10 returned as this contract employee, so I was working  
11 full-time. I don't think that we -- you really have  
12 that type of a system in the United States.

13 Q And then after two years as a contract employee  
14 at Sharp Manufacturing of Poland, did you move back to  
11:04 15 Japan?

16 A Yes, I did.

17 Q And you worked as a contract employee for Sharp  
18 at that time?

19 A I worked as a contract employee for Sharp  
11:05 20 Corporation.

21 Q Okay. And what were your responsibilities?

22 A For the first year, I was project chief for a  
23 new plant that was being commissioned in Indonesia for  
24 white goods and I -- so I supported that as a project  
11:05 25 chief.

11:05 1 Q And white goods, meaning refrigerators,  
2 washers, dryers?

3 A (In English) Yes.

4 (Through the Interpreter) The new plant in  
11:06 5 Indonesia was a plant for refrigerators and washing  
6 machines.

7 Q No television manufacturing?

8 A There was some TV too.

9 Q LCD or CRT television?

11:06 10 A LCD.

11 Q And what about the second year with Sharp  
12 Corporation?

13 A I was in the overseas planning department --  
14 overseas site planning department and essentially I was  
11:07 15 following up from Japan on the new plant -- mainly  
16 the -- mainly the new plant in Indonesia.

17 Q Okay. So you retired in 2011 and then you were  
18 a contract employee for four years; right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Was that a single contract or multiple  
21 contracts covering that four years?

22 A It was renewed each year.

23 Q Four back-to-back contracts?

24 A So four year -- for the span of four years,  
11:08 25 each year the contract was renewed.

11:08 1 Q Right. With no gap in between?

2 A Gap?

3 Q Yeah, no hiatus, no interregnum.

4 A No.

11:09 5 Q All right. And then what did you do after the  
6 fourth of those one-year contracts?

7 A That ended on January 21st of this year.

8 Q What did you do then?

9 THE INTERPRETER: Can the interpreter check the  
11:10 10 gender?

11 MR. CURRAN: Yes.

12 THE DEPONENT: Then the president, Okayama,  
13 from SEMA said -- asked me whether -- invited me to work  
14 with him.

11:10 15 Q BY MR. CURRAN: And did you accept that  
16 invitation?

17 A Yes, I did.

18 Q And when did you start working with SEMA again?

19 A March 24th of this year.

11:10 20 Q Were you employed between January 21st of this  
21 year and March 24th of this year?

22 A No, I was not.

23 Q So you've now been working again at SEMA for  
24 the past two months?

11:11 25 A Yes, that's correct.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	)	
ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	)	
	)	Case No. 07-5944 SC
	)	MDL No. 1917
This Document Relates to:	)	
	)	
ALL ACTIONS.	)	
	)	

**HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**

**VOLUME II**

**DEPOSITION OF NOBUO HARADA**

May 21, 2015

Tami L. Le, CSR No. 8716  
392111



**BARKLEY**  
Court Reporters  
[barkley.com](http://barkley.com)

(310) 207-8000 Los Angeles	(415) 433-5777 San Francisco	(949) 955-0400 Irvine	(858) 455-5444 San Diego
(916) 922-5777 Sacramento	(408) 885-0550 San Jose	(760) 322-2240 Palm Springs	(951) 686-0606 Riverside
(818) 702-0202 Woodland Hills	(212) 808-8500 New York City	(347) 821-4611 Brooklyn	(518) 490-1910 Albany
(516) 277-9494 Garden City	(914) 510-9110 White Plains	(312) 379-5566 Chicago	(702) 366-0500 Las Vegas
00+1+800 222 1231 Paris	00+1+800 222 1231 Dubai	001+1+800 222 1231 Hong Kong	

1                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2                   NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
4

5       In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)                   )  
6       ANTITRUST LITIGATION,                              )  
7       This Document Relates to:                        )  
8       ALL ACTIONS.                                      )  
9

---

10

11

12                   HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

13                   VOLUME II

14                   Videotaped Deposition of NOBUO

15                   HARADA, taken on behalf of the Toshiba

16                   Defendants, at 501 W. Broadway, 19th

17                   Floor, San Diego, California,

18                   commencing at 9:04 a.m., on Thursday,

19                   May 21, 2015, before Tami L. Le,

20                   CSR No. 8716, RPR.

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2

3 For the Direct Action Plaintiff Circuit City Stores,  
4 Inc. Liquidating Trust:  
4 (Telephonic appearance)

5 SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
6 BY: JONATHAN J. ROSS, ESQ.  
1000 Louisiana  
Suite 5100  
7 Houston, Texas 77002  
713.653.7813  
8 jross@susmangodfrey.com

9

10 For Al Siegel, the Trustee for the Circuit City  
10 Liquidating Trust:  
10 (Telephonic appearance)

11 KLEE, TUCHIN, BOGDANOFF & STERN LLP  
12 BY: SASHA M. GURVITZ, ESQ.  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
13 Thirty-Ninth Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90067-6049  
14 310.407.4000  
sgurvitz@ktbslaw.com

15

16 For the Toshiba Defendants:

17 WHITE & CASE LLP  
18 BY: CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN, ESQ. (pro hac vice)  
CHARISE NAIFEH, ESQ.  
LUCIUS B. (Albie) LAU, ESQ.  
AYA KOBORI, ESQ. (Telephonic appearance)  
19 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
20 202.626.3600  
ccuran@whitecase.com  
cneifeh@whitecase.com  
alau@whitecase.com  
akobori@whitecase.com

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued) :

2

3 For the Defendants Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp  
4 Electronics Manufacturing Company of America and for the  
witness, Nobuo Harada.:

5 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
BY: CRAIG BENSON, ESQ.  
6 WILLIAM BLAISE WARREN, ESQ.  
2001 K Street, NW  
7 Washington, DC 20006-1047  
202.223.7343  
8 cbenson@paulweiss.com  
bwarren@paulweiss.com

9

10 For the Defendant LG Electronics, Inc.:

11 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
BY: JESSICA BARCLAY-STROBEL, ESQ.  
12 CLAIRE YAN, ESQ. (Telephonic appearance)  
355 South Grand Avenue  
13 35th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071-1560  
14 213.683.9577  
jessica.barclay-strobel@mto.com  
15 Claire.Yan@mto.com

16

For the Hitachi Defendants:

17 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
18 BY: BARACK S. ECHOLS, ESQ.  
300 North LaSalle  
19 Chicago, Illinois 60654  
312.862.3144  
20 barack.echols@kirkland.com

21

For the Panasonic Defendants:

22 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
23 BY: RYAN GOODLAND, ESQ.  
767 Fifth Avenue  
24 New York, New York 10153-0119  
212.310.8186  
25 ryan.goodland@weil.com

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued) :

2

3 For the Defendants Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.,  
and Thomson SA:

4

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP  
BY: STEPHEN M. JUDGE, ESQ.  
202 S. Michigan Street  
Suite 1400  
South Bend, Indiana 46601  
574.239.1942  
steve.judge@FaegreBD.com

5

6 The Videographer:

7 Brad Bissegger

8

9 The Interpreters:

10

11 Koko Peters  
12 Teresa Sumiyoshi  
13 Saori Bezouska

14

15 Also Present:

16 Michael Kraver, Sharp Electronics Corporation  
17 Takafumi Yamamoto, Sharp Electronics Corporation

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13:53 1 don't remember this very well, if import was to be  
2 involved, then it would have been imported through  
3 Los Angeles. So I think they wanted to perhaps control  
4 it in the United States.

13:53 5 Q Okay. All right. But it's your understanding,  
6 Mr. Harada, that the use of Nissin to receive components  
7 was the choice of the component supplier and not SEMA?

8 MR. BENSON: Objection to form, lack of  
9 foundation, mischaracterizes prior testimony.

13:54 10 THE DEPONENT: I think that was, indeed, the  
11 condition and transportation was needed in order to  
12 deliver to Mexico, so that's why they used it.

13 Q BY MR. CURRAN: Now, sir, as of today, you're a  
14 contract employee of SEMA; is that right?

13:54 15 (Discussion among interpreters.)

16 THE DEPONENT: Yes.

17 Q BY MR. CURRAN: And you've been a contract  
18 employee of SEMA since March 24th of this year; is that  
19 right?

13:55 20 A Yes.

21 Q So you've been working for SEMA again now for  
22 almost two months; is that right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Where are you working physically?

13:55 25 A Now I am at SEMA four days a week, and the

13:55 1 other day I go to the plant in Mexico.

2 Q Why do you divide your time up that way now?

3 MR. BENSON: Objection to form, vague.

4 THE DEPONENT: My current work is to get new  
13:56 5 businesses for SEMEX and it is -- it works out better to  
6 be in the United States in order to cultivate new  
7 business, so that is the reason why I am not in the U.S.  
8 side.

9 Q BY MR. CURRAN: All right. Your job is to get  
13:57 10 new business for SEMEX, not SEMA?

11 A Well, it will end up being the same thing,  
12 but...

13 Q How long is your contract for?

14 A The contract doesn't really have an end date.

13:57 15 Q Do you have an expectation as to how long your  
16 contract will last?

17 MR. BENSON: Objection to form, vague.

18 THE DEPONENT: Well, that would depend on what  
19 happens, but I think it is for around a year.

13:58 20 Q BY MR. CURRAN: Is it a written contract, sir?

21 A Yes.

22 Q When did you sign it?

23 A I think it was in March, around one week before  
24 I came to the U.S.

13:59 25 Q When did you come to the U.S.?

13:59 1 A It was March 24th.  
2 Q Where are you living?  
3 A I'm currently living in a town called Chula  
4 Vista.

13:59 5 Q Can you please give me the address?  
6 A 825 East Paloma (sic), Unit 503, Chula Vista  
7 91911.

8 Q You have the zip code memorized already?  
9 A Yes.

14:00 10 Q That's impressive.

11 MR. CURRAN: At this time I'd like to ask the  
12 court reporter to mark another document.

13 It's a previously marked document so we don't  
14 need that. It's been previously marked as Exhibit 3620  
14:00 15 and Exhibit 3620E. I'll read the Bates number anyway,  
16 SHARP-CRT-00212452 through -476.

17 (Document handed to counsel and the deponent.)

18 Q BY MR. CURRAN: So, Mr. Harada, of course  
19 please take a moment to familiarize yourself with 3620  
14:01 20 and then I'll ask you some questions about it.

21 A (Reviewing document.)

22 Yes.

23 Q Mr. Harada, what is this document?

24 MR. BENSON: Objection to form, lack of  
14:03 25 foundation.